ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ನೀರು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷ ಆಯಿತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷವೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಈ ನಾಲೆಯ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಥಾರಿಟಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ? ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇಗ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೂ ವರಮಾನ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆಹಾರ ಬೆಳೆಯುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅದೇ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಈಗ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ನೀರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಮ್ಯಾಪು ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಸರ್ವೇ ಮಾಡದೆ, ಮ್ಯಾಪು ತಯಾರಿಸದೆ ನಾಲೆ ತೆಗೆದರೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನೂ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಏರಿಯಾ ಎಷ್ಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಲಭಾಗ, ಎಡಭಾಗ ಎಂದು ಎರಡು ನಾಲೆ ಇದೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಲಭಾಗದ ನಾಲೆಯಿಂದ ನೀರು ಒದಗಿಸುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದು ಎಡಭಾಗದ ನಾಲೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ; ಬಲಗಡೆ ಭಾಗದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜನರನ್ನು ಕಾಡು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಕಡೆಯಾಗಿ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದು ಕಾಡುಪ್ರದೇಶ ಎಷ್ಟು ನೀರಾವರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಡು ಪ್ರಾಣಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಿಲೀಸ್ ಆದ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾದ ಜಮೀನು ಹೊಂದಿದವರಿಗೆ ರಿಸರ್ವ್ ಮಾಡಿ, ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರಿಗೇ ಪ್ರಯಾರಿಟಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡುವುದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವವರಿಗೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಪಾಲಸಿ ಹೇಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದು ತಮಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಿಳಿದೇ ಇದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Hour is over.

Business of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ (ಹಿರಿಯೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಈ ದಿವಸದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮೊದಲೇ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಕಾಗದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗಬಹುದು, ಹೇಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ Revised allotment of days for the Voting of Demands for grants ಎನ್ನುವುದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು Agriculture, Rural Development, Veterinary ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅವನ್ನೇ 26 ಕ್ಕೂ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಇವತ್ತು 27 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ 26 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸದ್ದು ಮಾತ್ರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವು 9-10 ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಗಳಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಆಮೇಲೆ 27ನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮತ್ತು ಫ್ಯಾಮಿನ್ ಬಾಬ್ತು ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳನ್ನು 28ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಉಳಿದುವುಗಳನ್ನು 29ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದೆ, ಈಗ 26-27ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಅದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ 29ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹು ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ಏಜೆಸವೇ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿಬಿಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ 29ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಡತಕ್ಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭ ಕಾಣುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. 29ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಬಾಕಿಯವನ್ನು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಏನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು, ಏನು ಬಿಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಿತ ತುಂಬ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಎಲ್ಲ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಯಾವರೀತಿ ನಾವು ತಯಾರಾಗಬೇಕು, ಯಾವರೀತಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಂತಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಒಂದೇ ದಿವಸ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಮುಗಿಸಿಬಿಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆನ್ನುವ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಸಹಿತ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ವಿಚಾರವೇನೆಂದರೆ ಕಾಲದ ವಿಚಾರ. ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ಮೀಟಿಂಗನ್ನು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 8-30 ರಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12-30 ರವರೆಗೂ ಇಟ್ಟು ಮತ್ತೆ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಮೂರರಿಂದ ಆರು ಘಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೂ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ತುಂಬ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಾವು ಈ ದಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಮಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಶನಿವಾರವೆಂದರೆ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಏನೂ ಕೆಲಸವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು, ನಾವು ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ವಿಧವಾಗಿಯೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ದಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಕೂಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—I also rise to support the contention of the Leader of the Opposition. We all know that we are sanctioning about 60 crores under the various Demands. The time

(SRI V. S. PATIL)

allotted to discuss them is too short. We are given only 12 days and even a portion of this time is taken away for legislative work. This is practically an encroachment on our right to discuss the budget demands fully. I feel that at least 24 days would have to be given for discussing the Demands. There should not be this kind of hurrying through the discussion and our mouths should not be shut up like this. We are prepared to sit late hours, even during the nights, provided only the Demands are taken up and not the Bills.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would like to know, in the first place, if the Hon'ble Members agree to sit in the evening and discuss the Demands.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Today being Saturday, all the Members were under the impression that there would be no session in the afternoon and that is why they have other engagements. Had intimation been given two or three days back, or some consultations had taken place with the Leader of the Opposition, we would not be in the predicament in which we are finding ourselves today. I therefore submit that excepting today the House may sit in the afternoons also, provided only Demands are taken up. I am prepared to sit even tomorrow, which is a Sunday.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please let me know if we can sit tomorrow.

(Several Members rose)

Mr. SPEAKER.—As far as the P.S.P. is concerned, I would like that only the Leader speaks. Otherwise there would be confusion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ, ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಎಲ್ಲ ಡಿಮಾಂಡುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಎರಡು ಹೊತ್ತೂ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡರೂ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಾತನಾಡುವಾಗ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನೂ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು study ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕಾಲ ಹಿಡಿಯುತ್ತದೆ. Study ಮಾಡದೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು, ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದವರ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಬಹಳವಿದೆ. ನಮಗಿರುವ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನನ್ನ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿ ಬಿಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇನ್ನೇನಾದರೂ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವುದಿದ್ದರೆ, ಪುನಃ ಹೇಳಿ. . . . .

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ನಮಗೂ ಸಹ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಭಾಪತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅವರ ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳಿಗೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು re-arrange ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ರವರು ಬಹಳ unjust ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕನ್ನಡ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. I would make a special appeal to him. Let him go through the arrangement in any of the Legislatures or the Parliament for Demands. Nowhere it is more than twelve days. May I, on this occasion, point out to the Hon'ble Member that the Government was most indulgent and yourself most generous because with regard to the discussion on the Governor's Address more time was allowed ? With regard to general discussion on Budget also, more time was allowed. Sir, even when the Demands were put down for a specific day, you were very indulgent and you took them on the next day. Therefore, there is no question of being unjust to anyone. It is only a question of convenience of the House and the convenience of the Members of the House. Let him not direct any charges. So far as the discussion both on the Demands and on the Bills is concerned, I would appeal to Hon'ble Members, there must be unanimous co-operation and perfect identity of interest between the two sections of the House. We are prepared to adjust ourselves to the convenience of the Opposition. If we cannot take up all the Demands, some of them could be taken up for discussion. We cannot take up any Demands on 30th because that day is allotted for the Appropriation Bill. On the 29th guillotine will have to be applied. Do you prefer this or prefer to devote some time for the Demands ? We are not responsible for this, because the Opposition wanted some more time for the discussion of some Demands and more time was allotted and the rest of the Demands were thus left over. Sir, there is no analogy either in the State Legislatures or in the Parliament where the Speaker has

been most indulgent towards the Opposition. Compare the number of speakers who have spoken on this side of the House and on the side of the Opposition. Still, to make these remarks is really very uncharitable. We are prepared to cut down the number of speakers on this side. Kindly realise the short space of time at our disposal. At any rate, all the Demands should be put to vote on the 29th. You have got only Saturday and Sunday.

With regard to the division of work, I have got an appeal to make. We shall sit in the interval and have a talk across the table and come to a decision. I have also worked in the Opposition. We must consider whether it should be a fruitless obstruction or fruitful co-operation. After all, the Bills are going to be referred to the Select Committee. We can sit for four hours in the morning and two hours in the afternoon and discuss the Bills and send them to the Select Committee. After they come from the Select Committee, Members will have ample opportunity to discuss them. In fact, we can insist on the Bills being adopted here without sending them to the Select Committee; we can do it. But, with deference to the opinion of the Opposition, we are prepared to send them to the Select Committee. Kindly co-operate with us.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).-Can I have a clarification from the Chair ? The point is, really we are at a loss to understand whether the time schedule in respect of this House is seriously adhered to. There is a constant change. There is the elementary convention that at least the Leader of the Opposition should be consulted in these matters. I want to know whether he has been consulted at all. Otherwise, there would not have been all this trouble.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will clarify everything. I must first answer Sri V. S. Patil. He says that 12 days for Demands are insufficient. He and I were in the Bombay Legislative Assembly and we were dealing with not 50 or 60 crores but with 80 or 85 crores of expenditure and these 12 days were used to finish the Demands tip top. When Hon'ble Member Sri Patil said that 12 days for discussion were insufficient, I was a little bit taken aback because I did not believe that he sincerely believed that 12 days were insufficient.

So far as the sitting of to-day in the evening is concerned, I leave it to the Leader of the Opposition and the Treasury Benches to settle during the recess. If they come to any conclusion it is all right. If they do not come to any understanding, I have no objection not to sit today. I will cancel it.

So far as Demands are concerned, this change was made because we could not take the other Demands on 29th, five of them have been, *i.e.*, Demands Nos. 2, 19, 32, 26 and 28 were put down for to-day. If Hon'ble Members intend that this discussion should be carried on even on Monday, I have no objection. We can carry on discussion on these Demands and the rest would be guillotined on Monday.

So far as adjourning today after 12-30 is concerned, I leave it to the Leader of the Opposition and the Treasury Benches to settle the matter. If they do not come to any conclusion, I am willing to adjourn at 12-30 and we are not sitting this evening.

Regarding the Demands I am willing to carry the discussion on the Demands from today to Monday.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In Bombay the time for discussion given to the Opposition was between 75 to 80 per cent of the whole time. But, here, more than 60 per cent of the time is taken by the Treasury Benches and that is our difficulty.

(*Members from the Treasury Benches.—No, no.*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Patil is under a wrong impression. Every day I have made it a point to announce as to how many speakers spoke on the Opposition and how many participated on behalf of the ruling party. Always, it has been my practice.

Sri J. SARWESH (Serum).—It is true that you did announce. But, you included the Scheduled Caste Members also and announced them.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Scheduled Caste Members, whether they belong to the Opposition or the Ruling Party, they belong to Scheduled Castes. If you want me to announce them separately, I have no objection.

Now, we shall rise for tea. Hon'ble Members can think about the programme of business and give me a final word.

The House adjourned at Three Minutes past Ten of the Clock and reassembled at Thirty Minutes past Ten of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Business of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we start discussion, I would like to announce that there will be certain change in the mode of discussion. A number of demands including Demands Nos. 2, 19, 32, 26 and 28 were put down in the Notice Paper. But the discussion will take place only in respect of the other Demands except 2, 19, 32, 26 and 28.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Just as the Members of the Opposition have got some engagements, we have also got some engagements. It is better to announce the time of meeting tomorrow also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we rise, I will announce. It has been agreed to by the Leader of the House and the Treasury Benches that on Monday we are meeting at 12 noon and the guillotine will take place at 4 P.M. According to the Rules, the guillotine has to take place two hours prior to the time fixed for the end of the session. We will the end session at 6 P.M. So, at 4 O'clock, guillotine will take place for half an hour. It won't take much time. Then we will rise for tea. At 5 O'clock again we will meet and discuss legislative business up to 7 P.M.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Are we sure legislation will not be taken up today?

Mr. SPEAKER.—We are not meeting today in the evening. Possibly, I think we will take up on Monday, after the guillotine of Demands, some of the Bills which will go to the Select Committee.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Which Demands will be taken up today?

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will take up Demands Nos. 29, 50, 51, 53, 54, 42, 46, 47, 10 and 40.

BUDGET FOR 1957-58—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Demand No. 29—Civil Works

50. *Civil Works.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 8,46,62,600 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1958, in respect of 'Civil Works'."

Demand No. 50.—Appropriation to Irrigation and Power Development Fund.

80—*B. Appropriation to Irrigation and Power Development Fund.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 40,00,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1958, in respect of 'Appropriation to Irrigation and Power Development Fund'."

Demand No. 51.—Capital Outlay on Civil Works.

81—*Capital Account of Civil Works Outside the Revenue Account.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,32,80,300 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1958, in respect of 'Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account'."